

REA Briefing: BEIS Call for Evidence on Future Support for Small-Scale Renewables

Background

- The Feed-in Tariff scheme has supported around 900,000 renewable power projects across the country, mostly solar panels on roofs.
- FiT support is scheduled to close to new applications on 31 March 2019 (with existing accredited projects unaffected) - a call for evidence was launched by BEIS on how to support the sector after scheme closure in July 2018.
- Sustained support by successive governments for investment in renewables coupled with putting a price on carbon have been central to the UK's success to date in deploying renewables. However, there is
- Fair support is essential to maintain momentum as we transition towards subsidy-free renewables at different scales.

Impact on Industry

- Closing the FIT scheme without a meaningful replacement could lead to thousands of job losses that are in addition to the 9,000 job losses resulting from the reform of the FiT in 2015/16,
- Billions in lost investment, confidence undermined in a sector that is approaching - but has not yet reached - the point where subsidy-free investments can become mainstream.
- The REA's subsidiary RECC, which is the main consumer protection code for small scale renewables installers, with over 1,700 members, surveyed members on the impact of the proposals – these illustrate an overwhelming belief that the proposals will result in widespread job losses in the industry (**over 40% of companies said they were considering withdrawing from the solar industry entirely**), and highlight support for a continued export tariff.

What the REA is calling for

1. Continued 'Export Tariff' Payments
 - Until there are meaningful price signals in the market, we see the retention of some form of export payments as the first step in a longer term transition to the 'end point' whereby export meters are widespread and a system of individual PPAs or directly metered payments from suppliers can be made. The stronger challenger supply companies are already working on this and expect to be able to implement such a system once various hurdles have been overcome.
 - Some form of continued or successor 'export tariff', or similarly named measure, would ensure that small scale projects are paid for the electricity

they export to the grid. This would provide recognition of the power provided to the system which would otherwise not be compensated for at all.

- The export tariff should apply for all currently eligible projects. For smaller systems, retaining an export tariff would also mean that smaller systems would not need to install costly export MPAN meters straightaway, which would risk slowing the market at a critical time after the removal of the generation tariff.

2. Reform of ECO Scheme to include on-site renewable generation

- The Energy Company Obligations scheme (ECO) is designed to relieve fuel poverty in the roughly one third of UK households at risk of fuel poverty. The latest iteration (ECO 3) was implemented just earlier this month (August 2018). It is now focussed on the most vulnerable, poorest consumers and is more geographically targeted. There is clearly scope to change some of the parameters of the scheme.
- On-site renewables should be funded under the scheme as an obvious way to reduce energy bills in households. This would help support the deployment of more renewable power and could be combined with smart systems such as energy storage, and administered by the large supply company installing the measures.

How to implement: BEIS are the responsible department for the ECO scheme and can make changes to it via secondary legislation.

3. Tax Support & interest free loans

– Enhanced Capital Allowances, Enterprise Investment Scheme for projects

- Some technologies, Solar PV and AD for biogas for example, have been eligible in the past for EIS and ECA support. This was withdrawn over concern regarding 'double-dipping' of subsidy support once the FIT was established. After the closure of the FIT on 1 April 2019, the 'double-support' concern is no longer relevant and these measures could therefore be re-instated without the previous concern applying.

How to implement: The Carbon Trust administers the ECA eligibility list on behalf of BEIS and this can be updated in a relatively straight-forward way without as far as we are aware, the need for primary legislation. EIS support could be changed in the next Finance Bill.

Tax Support – VAT rates reduction

- VAT rates for solar and storage must be reduced for all installations, and small scale renewable installations, including on-site AD plants, must be exempt from VAT. HM Treasury has previously resisted any further reductions to VAT rates, and doing so would further be contrary to State Aid rules. The UK is already in open defiance of these in this area, and Government has already agreed to a reduction in VAT for some solar and storage projects.

How to implement: Any changes would have to be made in the Finance Bill following Budget.

Tax Support – Business Rates Reform

- Tapered relief or payment holidays for solar/wind/hydro on-site renewables and such projects co-located with energy storage would be very beneficial

for small scale renewables. Another option would be a two to three year payment 'holiday' or exemption for such sites.

- Gas CHP equipment and plant is already exempt from Business Rates and therefore a precedent has been established which actively discriminates in favour of a particular technology.

How to implement: The VOA administers Business Rates collection and rateable values, the framework for doing so is set by HM Treasury.

Interest-free loans for renewable & storage installations

- An interest-free or heavily subsidised loan programme could be introduced for small-scale renewable installations. Such a scheme was recently introduced in Scotland and would mean that consumers and businesses would not have to worry about finding the upfront capital to make an installation, which would arguably open up on-site generation possibilities to many more households.
- This would address one of the criticisms of the FiT scheme (only being accessible by more affluent households). The loan repayments could be funded by savings in energy bills. This could possibly be extended to include energy storage devices as this would benefit the system as a whole and the consumer in terms of maximising savings.

How to implement: The 'Home Energy Scotland Renewables Scheme' is administered by the Energy Savings Trust in Scotland¹, therefore the same body could administer such a scheme in England and Wales.

Why Action is Needed

The closure of the FiT scheme must be seen in the current energy policy context of a vacuum of meaningful support. The situation includes:

- The substantial 'Policy Gap' of further emissions reductions that must be filled if the UK hopes to meet our fourth and fifth Carbon Budgets, according to the independent Committee on Climate Change.
- The UK is not on track to meet our current legally binding 15% renewable energy target for 2020. While there has been progress in the power sector, we are currently well behind our targets for heat and transport (DUKES, 2018). Over-achievement in power could help get us back towards achieving the overarching 15% renewable energy target.
- The electrification of transport will cause a large increase in the requirement for power, National Grid estimates that around 4GW of new power capacity will be necessary by 2030 to charge the Electric Vehicles on the road by that time.
- Substantial energy regulatory and market reforms are underway. Such programmes will have major implications for revenue streams for investment in renewables and other types of flexible resources and leave significant uncertainty for investors while the details are worked out.
- The Renewable Heat Incentive (RHI) meanwhile closes to new projects in 2021 and there is no clarity beyond this date.

¹ 'Home Energy Scotland Renewables Scheme', the Energy Savings Trust Scotland, <http://www.energysavingtrust.org.uk/scotland/grants-loans/renewables/loan-scheme>

Value to the UK of Small-scale renewables & Evidence of possible job losses

We can definitively say that if the transition away from the FiT scheme is handled badly, then we could see several thousand jobs lost. We estimate that around 9,000 jobs were lost in the solar sector alone after the last significant reform of the FiT scheme in 2015/16. According to the latest REA REVIEW publication (REVIEW 2018, [here](#)), over 127,000 people were employed in the renewables, EV and energy storage sectors in the UK in 2016/17, with around 10,600 in the solar sector in particular. Further analysis revealed we could be missing out on a further 11,000 jobs and £19 billion of investment by not supporting the renewables sector.

A survey of RECC members conducted in August 2018 in response to the proposals attracted 140 responses at the time of submitting this response, (see the REA's response to the Closure of the FiT consultation for the full results) and showed that:

- 48% of respondents had scaled back considerably from the solar industry after the last FiT reforms in 2016, correlating with our estimates of 9,000 job losses in the sector as a result;
- 40% of companies responding said they would have to reconsider remaining in the industry if the changes were implemented as proposed;
- Only around 22% said they would continue with current staffing levels or hire more staff;
- Over 80% of respondents said they would have to cut half or more of all domestic solar PV installation jobs in their company;
- Around 70% called for a retained export tariff as the most useful policy response to the proposals, with similar levels calling for tax support.

In addition, there are the wider supply chain impacts of the decisions, including on UK manufacturing which includes wind factories in Glasgow, BIPV modules in Tyneside, and battery storage production in Luton, Hastings and Washington, Tyne and Wear.

Conclusion

Our research shows that there will likely be large-scale job losses as a result of the Government's current proposals to end all forms of support under the FiT scheme.

If the UK government is serious about cultivating a sustainable clean energy and innovation sector, the government must ensure that there is something to build on. Failure to do this will merely delay and increase costs for consumers as well as costing thousands of jobs - as evidenced by industry surveys and the previous response to changes in the FiT in which an estimated 9,000 people lost their jobs.

The REA firmly believes that small-scale renewables must be supported in the UK after FiTs are withdrawn. This will deliver clean energy, but also jobs and investment as well as transitioning us to a low-carbon, flexible energy system.

Annex A

Other options to support small scale renewables

Improve support from existing mechanisms

New flexibility markets under development

As a principle, there should be no barriers to aggregated small scale renewables (most likely in combination with energy storage devices) being able to offer services and participate in the developing DNO flexibility markets referenced in the Call for Evidence. In the longer term, these should provide a revenue stream for the service that DERs can offer to the system. However, at present, the limited geographical availability, low value and uncertain contract lengths mean this does not provide a meaningful basis on which to invest in new small-scale renewable projects.

In any event, the availability of alternative revenue streams (in the future) doesn't change the importance of ensuring that small-scale generators can obtain fair value for the energy they export to the grid. Retaining an export tariff ensures generators receive fair compensation for supplying electricity to the grid while markets for other types of services develop.

Capacity Market Reform

Wind and solar must be enabled to participate in the Capacity Market since they are the only two technologies currently barred from the scheme. We welcome the recent consultation on this. However, as the Capacity Market has a minimum participation capacity of 2MW, this will do little to stimulate a market for small projects beneath this threshold.

Time of Use Tariffs (TOUTs)

Time of Use Tariffs (TOUTs) are essential to unlocking the savings that smart technologies and on-site generation can offer to the grid. In the absence of widespread access to TOUTs (and time of export tariffs), the customer bill and network benefits from price signals to encourage shifting consumption to times of low demand will continue to be out of reach. .

TOUTs are unlikely to be commercially available on a widespread scale until 2021, when half hourly settlement is fully required across the market.

Confirm position re projects under 5MW applying for CfDs post-FiT Closure

AD, Hydro, Onshore wind, Solar PV are currently excluded from the Contracts for Difference (CfD) scheme due to their eligibility for Feed-in Tariffs at 5MW and below². This was due to concerns over double-subsidisation and associated issues of over-reward – concerns which no longer apply after spring next year and the closure of the FiT to new capacity.

Once the FiT closes to new entrants on 31 March 2019, it therefore follows that such projects (ie eligible technologies below 5MW), should by default become eligible for CfD support. Industry would appreciate confirmation of this and we would be happy to discuss further. An added advantage to the CfD scheme would be an increase in competitive tension in the CfD auction mechanism as more capacity enters each

² See Contracts for Difference (Allocation) Regulations 2014:

Reg 14 – Excluded applications:

Reg 14(5) – can't apply for CFD for an extension on an accredited FIT installation

Reg 14(3) &(4), minimum of over 5MW for new CFD units (units of 5MW or less ineligible)

allocation round, therefore potentially pushing down clearing prices further and better utilising existing budgets.

How to implement: Varies, but for example includes decisions in the existing Capacity Market five year review, and a confirmation in the response to the Call for Evidence / Consultation, based on in-house legal advice, on CfD eligibility.

We are clear that these steps, while being relatively quick to implement, 'low hanging fruit' not requiring considerable effort, must be done in conjunction with a continued export tariff.

Renewable and storage installations funded by Winter Fuel Allowance

The Winter Fuel Allowance³ is paid on an annual basis to all pensioners (ie non-means tested) and is designed to cover some of the cost of fuel bills for those at most risk of living in fuel poverty. The payment of c.£200 per household (£300 if both partners born before September 1938) could be reformed such that the payments are used to pay for the upfront cost of installing on-site renewable generation (with associated energy storage and low-cost energy efficiency measures).

Such installations would reduce the home's energy bill, therefore achieving the same net effect as the annual payment – ie a lower bill for the householder each year.

Details would need to be agreed, however this would target those at most need of on-site generation and lower bills, with fuel poverty a widespread problem in the UK.

How to implement: The Department for Work and Pensions administers the Winter Fuel Allowance and would need to be persuaded to make this change, which would likely require primary legislation.

Account for Solar and storage in EPC Ratings

By quantifying the carbon reduction benefit delivered from increased self-consumption of domestic PV systems when coupled with battery energy storage, this could be used to significantly increase the recognition of PV + storage in SAP calculations. This may then encourage new-build developers and building refurbishment contractors to install such measures as a means of achieving the required SAP building Energy Performance Certificate. Input would be required from BRE as the administrators of SAP.

How to implement: The SAP methodology is managed by BRE and Home Energy Certificates (EPCs) is an MHCLG policy.

Mandate on-site renewables on new buildings

Building regulations must be strengthened to encourage the use of renewables on-site at new housing and commercial developments. Such a target could mandate 15% of the building's power consumption be provided by solar PV on new buildings for example.

Similarly, a revival of the Government's Zero Carbon Buildings programme would be enormously beneficial to the renewables industry at no direct cost to Government.

How to implement: MHCLG can reform the Building Regulations, and are currently reviewing these.

³ Winter Fuel Allowance, Direct Gov, <https://www.gov.uk/winter-fuel-payment/what-youll-get>

Other Important factors

Development of database of future renewable and smart power projects

It is vital that in the world after FiTs, there is some means to track un-subsidised renewables as well as smart systems such as energy storage and EV chargers.

The REA is involved in an initiative in the 'Open Networks' programme, which evolved from the Smart Systems and Flexibility Plan, to examine the creation of a national database for distributed energy resources, designed in this context, to support the work of the DNOs and ESO in managing the grid network.

We suggest this work should be coordinated with BEIS's aims in this area as the objectives are the same and it will avoid duplicated activities.

We are also aware of the data held by Electralink (a subsidiary of the DNO companies), which could identify generation and smart products in individual homes based on meter usage. We therefore suggest that, subject to further information being available on this, and detailed discussions on implementation, using such data could be one way of compiling a central database of the required information. This would be subject to data access and privacy scrutiny controls and reliant on comprehensive set of data. Further discussions would obviously be necessary, but it is an example where the existing data might already be available for the task.

Annex B

REA's Export tariff proposals in more depth

There are different options for how an export tariff could be implemented, discussed below. Initially at least, our preferred option would be to retain the existing system of export tariffs. Such a tariff could be paid for by the larger electricity supply companies directly, as they could sell on the power procured to their retail customer base (not withstanding long running metering issues around settlement positions, referred to below). Other options include adapting the existing system to better reflect the market value of exporting electricity to the grid. Some examples are provided below.

Option A: Retain existing export tariff system

The simplest option is to retain the existing system of export tariff payments, whereby the tariff does not change by hour or day and is flat-rate, inflation-linked (currently at 5.34p/kWh). This would then be paid for by the electricity suppliers as at present, although adjustments may be required for levelisation,.

Export tariffs are only a subsidy if they give a higher return than market value. If all export tariffs do is to guarantee fair market value for the electricity exported to the grid, then the export tariff can be considered to be a guaranteed route to market, rather than a 'subsidy'.

One mechanism would be to index export tariff payments to an indicative reflection of wholesale prices to more accurately reflect the actual value of the power at a moment in time. Two possible options for this are discussed below:

Option B: Index to System Imbalance Price ('Spill price', previously the System Sell Price)

This could be done in two ways: firstly, by linking the payments to the 'Single Imbalance Price' otherwise known as the system spill price, what used to be termed

the system sell price, which provides an indication of the impact on the system of generation at a particular time. Currently, any half hourly settled generation asset that has an export MPAN can receive this price on any power exported to the grid – therefore it is fair and logical to apply this to smaller scale generators, otherwise they would be the only form of generation not compensated for their generation.

Moreover, an examination of the data illustrates that in the past year the price has been broadly similar to the FiT export rate:

Year	System imbalance ("spill") price (£/MWh)	FiT export tariff (£/MWh)
2015	36.5	52.4
2016	40.0	52.4
2017	45.1	52.4
2018	54.5	53.4

Figure 1: System Imbalance Price, and FiT Export Tariff rates, 2015-2018

Source: Elexon Portal, 2018

Option C: Index to Day-ahead electricity price

Alternatively, as with the CfD mechanism, the export tariff price could be linked to the day-ahead 'spot' electricity price on the London market, for example the N2Ex market. This reflects the changing price of power throughout the day (on an hourly basis, therefore smart meters would be required to be implemented) and there is a precedent for using them already in place, however there can be low volumes traded on these exchanges at times and they can be volatile in nature.

Option D: Retain a fixed Export Tariff for deemed or non-smart metered export; and introduce time of export rates for FiT generators with smart-enabled export metering. A hybrid option like this has the benefit of retaining the simplicity of retaining a fixed export tariff for installations without a smart export meter and mimicking the effect of variable price signals that will become available with the evolution of flexibility in the market. In this way, the FiT scheme could be used to encourage continued investment not only in small-scale renewables, but in systems that are more flexible and controllable. In addition, setting default variable export tariff rates would encourage suppliers to design better TOU offerings to attract customers, thereby contributing to accelerating the introduction of time variable pricing signals and the associated consumer benefits. To avoid any additional cost impact on consumer bills such time of export rates can be fixed to fit within existing budgetary envelopes.

Importance of maintaining high standards (eg MCS & RECC) for installations

The removal of FiTs will mean there will no longer be a need to have work done by MCS accredited and RECC member installers, risking cowboys entering the market. There must be a retained requirement for MCS and RECC/Consumer Code accreditation, in order to protect consumers, for example by linking any of the

above measures to these schemes. So in practical terms, projects would only receive tax support, or an export tariff, etc for projects which are installed by reputable companies and to a high standard. The 'MID' Database is also a very valuable resource and tool for consumer protection and must be retained.

Urgency of providing new support

There is an impending 'cliff-edge' when support will drop off for small renewables. This means that any successor support simply must be introduced as quickly as possible in order to alleviate this – when the FIT scheme was last reformed in 2016, we saw 9,000 jobs lost in the solar PV sector alone and we run the risk of a similar situation in spring 2019. This all comes in the face of uncertain economic circumstances and the Brexit negotiations.